

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

John Lockett,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Mercury Insurance Company, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00163-RFB-VCF

ORDER

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
(EFC No. 1)

Pro se plaintiff John Lockett filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) and complaint. ECF Nos. 1. I deny Lockett's IFP application.

DISCUSSION

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), a plaintiff may bring a civil action "without prepayment of fees or security thereof" if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff "is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." The Ninth Circuit has recognized that "there is no formula set forth by statute, regulation, or case law to determine when someone is poor enough to earn IFP status." *Escobedo v. Applebees*, 787 F.3d 1226, 1235 (9th Cir. 2015). An applicant need not be destitute to qualify for a waiver of costs and fees but he must demonstrate that because of his poverty he cannot pay those costs and still provide himself with the necessities of life. *Adkins v. E.I DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

The applicant's affidavit must state the facts regarding the individual's poverty "with some particularity, definiteness and certainty." *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (citation omitted). If an individual is unable or unwilling to verify his or her poverty, district courts have the discretion to make a factual inquiry into a plaintiff's financial status and to deny a request to proceed

1 in forma pauperis. See, e.g., *Marin v. Hahn*, 271 Fed.Appx. 578 (9th Cir. 2008) (finding that the district
 2 court did not abuse its discretion by denying the plaintiff's request to proceed IFP because he "failed to
 3 verify his poverty adequately"). "Such affidavit must include a complete statement of the plaintiff's
 4 personal assets." *Harper v. San Diego City Admin. Bldg.*, No. 16cv00768 AJB (BLM), 2016 U.S. Dist.
 5 LEXIS 192145, at 1 (S.D. Cal. June 9, 2016). Misrepresentation of assets is sufficient grounds in
 6 themselves for denying an in forma pauperis application. *Cf. Kennedy v. Huibregtse*, 831 F.3d 441, 443-
 7 44 (7th Cir. 2016) (affirming dismissal with prejudice after litigant misrepresented assets on in forma
 8 pauperis application).

9 The District of Nevada has adopted three types of IFP applications: a "Prisoner Form" for
 10 incarcerated persons and a "Short Form" (AO 240) and "Long Form" (AO 239) for non-incarcerated
 11 persons. The Long Form requires more detailed information than the Short Form. The court typically
 12 does not order an applicant to submit the Long Form unless the Short Form is inadequate, or it appears
 13 that the plaintiff is concealing information about his income for determining whether the applicant
 14 qualifies for IFP status. When an applicant is specifically ordered to submit the Long Form, the correct
 15 form must be submitted, and the applicant must provide all the information requested in the Long Form
 16 so that the court is able to make a fact finding regarding the applicant's financial status. See *e.g. Greco v.*
 17 *NYE Cty. Dist. Jude Robert Lane*, No. 215CV01370MMDPAL, 2016 WL 7493981, at 3 (D. Nev. Nov.
 18 9, 2016), report and recommendation adopted sub nom. *Greco v. Lake*, No. 215CV001370MMDPAL,
 19 2016 WL 7493963 (D. Nev. Dec. 30, 2016). Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 10(a) commands that
 20 the title of every complaint must name all the parties. "The normal presumption in litigation is that
 21 parties must use their real names." *Doe v. Kamehameha Sch./Bernice Pauahi Bishop Est.*, 596 F.3d
 22 1036, 1042 (9th Cir. 2010).

23
 24 Lockett lives in Anaheim, California and he filed an IFP application approved by the California
 25

1 court instead of this court. He indicates on his IFP application that he earns no money, he has no
2 savings, he owns no property, and has no bills. Luckett does not explain how he pays for his housing in
3 California. Plaintiff did not provide any explanation regarding how he lives given that he claims he has
4 no money and no bills. I find that plaintiff's IFP application is incomplete, so I cannot determine if
5 plaintiff qualifies for IFP status.

6 I will allow plaintiff another opportunity to show that he qualifies for IFP status. Plaintiff must
7 fill out the long form application that has been approved by this court. In response to question 11, which
8 asks why he cannot afford to pay the filing fee, plaintiff must explain in detail what bills he has
9 (including food and housing) how he pays his bills.

10 ACCORDINGLY,

11 I ORDER that plaintiff Luckett's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) is
12 DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

13 I FURTHER ORDER that by Thursday, March 30, 2023, plaintiff Luckett must either (1) file the
14 long form application to proceed in forma pauperis as specified in my order or (2) plaintiff must pay the
15 full fee for filing a civil action.

16 I FURTHER ORDER that failure to timely comply with this Order may result in a
17 recommendation that this case be dismissed with prejudice.

18 **NOTICE**

19 Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and
20 recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk
21 of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal
22 may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified
23 time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file
24
25

1 objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues
2 waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the
3 District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch.*
4 *Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Pursuant to LR IA 3-1, plaintiffs must immediately file written
5 notification with the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon
6 each opposing party's attorney, or upon the opposing party if the party is unrepresented by counsel.

7 **Failure to comply with this rule may result in dismissal of the action.**

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED this 28th day of February 2023.

10 

11 CAM FERENBACH
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25